

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

AKILIOU SMITH,)	C/A No.: 2:16-cv-0655-BHH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	
JAMES L. JACKO, ZACH LINDSAY,)	VERDICT FORM
JOHN WIEDEMANN, and MATTHEW)	
WEAN, in their respective INDIVIDUAL)	
Capacities;)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

You are instructed to answer the following questions. You must all agree on your answer to each question and the foreperson must sign the completed form on the signature line.

WE, THE JURY, UNANIMOUSLY FIND AS FOLLOWS:

**VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM
UNREASONABLE SEARCH**

Question No. 1: Has Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants, violated his constitutional right to be free from unreasonable government intrusion into his home on December 07, 2015.

James Jacko: Yes ☒ / No ☐

Zach Lindsay: Yes ☒ / No ☐

John Wiedemann: Yes ☐ / No ☒

Matthew Wean: Yes ☐ / No ☒

Proceed to the next page

**VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM
UNREASONABLE SEIZURE**

Question No. 2: Has Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants violated his constitutional right to be free from unlawful government restraint on December 07, 2015.

James Jacko: Yes ☒ / No ☐

Zach Lindsay: Yes ☐ / No ☒

John Wiedemann: Yes ☒ / No ☐

Matthew Wean: Yes ☒ / No ☐

**VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM
THE USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE**

Question No. 3: Has Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants violated his constitutional right to be free from the use of excessive force?

James Jacko: Yes ☐ / No ☒

Matthew Wean: Yes ☐ / No ☒

If you answered "Yes" to either Question No. 1, Question No. 2, or Question No. 3 proceed to Question 4.

DAMAGES

Question No. 4: What is the total amount, if any, of actual or compensatory damages Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he is entitled to recover from Defendants on his claims? Answer in dollars and cents.

\$ Fifty Thousand Dollars

If you answered that Akiliou Smith is entitled to any actual or compensatory damages, proceed to Question 5.

Question No. 5: If you determine Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, is entitled to recover actual damages, did Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, prove that any of Defendants'

conduct was motivated by evil motive or intent, or involved reckless or callous indifference to Plaintiff's rights?

Yes ☒ / No ☐

If you answered that Akiliou Smith is entitled to any punitive damages, proceed to Question 6.

Question No. 6: What amount of punitive damages is Plaintiff, Akiliou Smith, entitled to recover from Defendants. Answer in dollars and cents.

\$ Five Hundred Thousand Dollars

YOUR VERDICT MUST BE UNANIMOUS

Please sign and date the verdict form

6/21/19
DATE

